US-PAT-NO: <u>6374332</u>

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6374332 B1

TITLE: Cache control

Cache control system for performing multiple

outstanding

ownership requests

DATE-ISSUED: April 16, 2002

US-CL-CURRENT: 711/145, 711/150, 711/151

APPL-NO: 09/409756

DATE FILED: September 30, 1999

PARENT-CASE:

CROSS-REFERENCE TO OTHER APPLICATIONS AND ISSUED PATENT

The following co-pending applications of common assignee contain some common disclosure:

"A Directory-Based Cache Coherency System", filed Nov. 5, 1997, Ser. No. 08/965,004, incorporated herein by reference in its entirety;

"Message Flow Protocol for Avoiding Deadlocks", U.S. Pat. No. 6,014,709, issued Jan. 11, 2001, incorporated herein by reference in its entirety;

"High-Speed Memory Storage Unit for a Multiprocessor System Having

Integrated Directory and Data Storage Subsystems", filed Dec. 31, 1997, Ser.

No. 09/001,588, incorporated herein by reference in its entirety; and

"Directory-Based Cache Coherency System Supporting Multiple Instruction

Processor and Input/Output Caches", filed Dec. 31, 1997, Ser. No. 09/001,598,

incorporated herein by reference in its entirety; and

"Directory-Based Cache Coherency System Supporting Multiple Instruction Processor and Input/Output Caches", a Divisional of Ser. No. 091001,598, filed Aug. 24, 2000, Ser. No. 09/645,233, incorporated herein by

reference in its entirety.

----- KWIC -----

US Patent No. - PN (1): 6374332

Detailed Description Text - DETX (35):

From the above discussion, it is apparent that if a large number of requests

are being processed across the MI Interfaces, the necessity to request

exclusive ownership from the MSU may substantially increase the

time required

to perform a write operation. The current invention minimizes the time

required to obtain exclusive ownership by <u>prefetching</u> ownership before a write

request is actually being processed.

Detailed Description Text - DETX (36):

Description of the Ownership <u>Prefetching</u> System of the Current Invention

Detailed Description Text - DETX (47):

To prevent IP "stalls" from occurring during write requests, an ownership

<u>prefetch</u> mechanism is implemented which minimizes the delay in obtaining

ownership of a cache line that is not present within an IP's SLC. When the

Processing Logic 402 is writing a modified operand to memory, the requested

write address is presented to the FLC 404. If a cache hit occurs, the write

operation occurs to the FLC. Regardless of whether a cache hit occurs to the

FLC 404, the updated data will also be written to SLC.

Detailed Description Text - DETX (67):

It may be noted that a mechanism similar to that provided by the current

invention for write requests could likewise be implemented for read requests.

That is, a system for providing multiple read requests for cache lines not

present in the SLC could be implemented in a manner similar to that shown in

FIG. 4 for write requests. However, a design choice was made to exclude this

logic for read requests in the preferred embodiment of the current system for

several reasons. First, a large percentage of read operations involve

instruction fetches. During the execution of a sequence of instructions,

instruction execution is often re-directed by the occurrence of a jump, skip,

or other such instruction. Obtaining a read copy of a cache line that is

subsequently determined to be unneeded because execution re-direction has

occurred can waste system resources. Thus, for many read situations, it is

considered undesirable to obtain a <u>prefetched</u> copy of the read data.

Additionally, since a cache line including a block of instructions should not.

in most instances, undergo modification, it will not be exclusively owned by

any cache in the system. Thus, even if the MSU does not own a requested cache

line, only read access has been provided by the MSU to other caches in the

system. As a result, the MSU need not initiate a return operation to fetch

ownership and/or updated data, and a request for the cache line may be

processed without delay. Thus, a shorter access time is generally associated

with many read requests as compared to the time required to complete the

average write request, making it less necessary to bury the read access times following a read miss to an SLC 360.

06/25/2004, EAST Version: 1.4.1